

Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

09/613,331

Examiner

Quoc D Hoang

Applicant(s)

TAGO ET AL.

Art Unit

2818

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Quoc D Hoang.

(3) _____.

(2) Eric Jensen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: June 4, 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, 12, 16, 17 & 24

Identification of prior art discussed: Saitou et al. & Watanabe et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discussed to Prior Art over 103 Final Rejection of record. Applicant will consider to file the response to the Final office Action.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Quoc D Hoang
Examiner's signature, if required